

REMARKS

The present Amendment is solely for the purpose of correcting typographical errors in claims 14 and 16. After the final rejection, Applicant submitted other amendments that made other changes in the claims, which the Examiner did not enter because the Examiner stated those amendments would raise new issues requiring further searching or consideration. Applicant believes it was the other changes to the claims, rather than the typo corrections in claims 14 and 16, that resulted in those other amendments not being entered. Since the present Amendment merely corrects typographical errors in claim 14 and 16, it does not raise any new issues requiring further consideration, and therefore entry thereof is proper under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116, and the same is respectfully requested.

Since a Notice of Appeal has been already filed in connection with this application, if the Examiner considers 37 C.F.R. § 1.4.1.63(b) to require a request to reopen prosecution under 37 C.F.R. § 1.41.77(b)(1), Applicant herewith makes such a request, however, this would be solely for the purpose of allowing the Examiner to enter an Advisory Action stating that this Amendment has been entered. Applicant does not wish, nor intend, to file any further papers, even if prosecution is briefly reopened.

Submitted by,



(Reg. 28,982)

SCHIFF, HARDIN LLP, CUSTOMER NO. 26574

Patent Department, 6600 Sears Tower

233 South Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60606

Telephone: 312/258-5790

Attorneys for Applicants.